arXiv:1404.2394v2 [math.DS] 10 Apr 2014

Preimage entropy dimension of topological dynamical systems

Lei Liu[1,2], Xiaomin Zhou[2], Xiaoyao Zhou[2*],

1 School of Mathematics and Information Science, Shangqiu Normal University,

Shangqiu 476000, Henan P.R.China,

2 Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China,

Hefei, Anhui,230026, P.R.China

e-mail: mathliulei@163.com

zxm12@mail.ustc.edu.cn

zhouxiaoyaodeyouxian@126.com,

Abstract. We propose a new definition of preimage entropy dimension for continuous maps on compact metric spaces, investigate fundamental properties of the preimage entropy dimension, and compare the preimage entropy dimension with the topological entropy dimension. The defined preimage entropy dimension holds various basic properties of topological entropy dimension, for example, the preimage entropy dimension of a subsystem is bounded by that of the original system and topologically conjugated systems have the same preimage entropy dimension. Also, we discuss the relation between the preimage entropy dimension and the preimage entropy.

MR Subject Classification (2010). 54H20, 37B20.

Keywords: Entropy dimension; Preimage entropy; s-preimage entropy; Preimage entropy dimension.

1 Introduction

In 1958, Kolmogorov applied the notion of entropy from information theory to ergodic theory. Since then, the concepts of entropies are useful for studying topological and measure-theoretic structures of dynamical systems, that is, topological entropy (see [1, 3, 4]) and measure-theoretic entropy (see [10, 18]). For instance, two conjugate systems have the same entropy and thus entropy is a numerical invariant of the class of conjugated dynamical systems. The theory of expansive dynamical systems has been closely related to the theory of topological entropy

[5, 17, 26]. Entropy and chaos are closely related, for example, a continuous map of interval is chaotic if and only if it has a positive topological entropy [2].

In [16], Hurley introduced several other entropy-like invariants for noninvertible maps. One of these, which Nitecki and Przytycki [23] called pre-image branch entropy (retaining Hurley's notation), distinguishing points according to the branches of the inverse map. Cheng and Newhouse [7] further extended the concept of topological entropy of a continuous map and gave the concept of pre-image entropy for compact dynamical systems. Several important pre-image entropy invariant, such as pointwise pre-image, pointwise branch entropy, partial pre-image entropy, and bundle-like pre-image entropy, etc., have been introduced and their relationships with topological entropy have been established. The authors [9, 20, 21, 19] extended and studied as above some entropy-like invariants for the non-autonomous discrete dynamical systems given by a sequence of continuous self-maps of a compact topological space.

Although systems with positive entropy are much more complicated than those with zero entropy, zero entropy systems have various complexity, and have been studied many authors (see [6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 25]). These authors adopted various methods to classify zero dynamical systems. Carvalho [6] introduced the notion of entropy dimension to distinguish the zero topological entropy systems and obtained some basic properties of entropy dimension. Cheng and Li further discuss entropy dimension of the probabilistic and the topological versions and gives a symbolic subspace to achieve zero topological entropy, but with full entropy dimension. Ferenczi and Park [13] investigated a new entropy-like invariant for the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on a probability space.

In this paper we introduce the generalized $s-$preimage entropy and preimage dimension of a topological dynamical systems, and study properties of the preimage entropy dimension. These properties include that the preimage entropy dimension of continuous maps on the finite spaces is zero, the preimage entropy dimension of a contractive continuous map is also zero and the preimage entropy dimension of a subsystem is bounded by that of the original system. We prove that the $s-$preimage entropy of T^n is less than or equal to n times the $s-$preimage entropy of T and the preimage entropy dimension of T^n equals the preimage entropy dimension of T. Main results show that $s-$preimage entropy is an invariant, that is, topologically conjugate systems have the same $s-$preimage entropy and the $s-$preimage entropy of a direct product is the sum of the $s-$preimage entropies of the factors. Moreover, we discuss the relation between preimag entropy dimension and preimage entropy, and obtain that the preimage entropy dimension is less than or equal to one if the preimage entropy is less than positive infinity, the preimage entropy dimension is more than or equal to one if the preimage entropy equals positive infinity and the preimage entropy dimension equals one if the preimage entropy is more than zero and it is less than positive infinity.

2 Preimage entropy dimension

A topological dynamical system (X, d, T) ((X, T) for short) means that (X, d) is a compact metric space together with a continuous self-map $T : X \to X$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of all positive integers and let $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the metric $d_{T,n}$ on X by

$$d_{T,n}(x, y) = \max_{0 \le j < n} d(T^j(x), T^j(y)).$$

Given a subset $K \subseteq X$, a set $E \subseteq K$ is an (n, ϵ, K, T)-separated set if, for any $x \neq y$ in E, one has $d_{T,n}(x, y) > \epsilon$. Let the quantity $r(n, \epsilon, K, T)$ be the maximal cardinality of (n, ϵ, K, T)-separated subset of K. A subset $E \subseteq K$ is an (n, ϵ, K, T)-spanning set if, for every $x \in K$, there is a $y \in E$ such that $d_{T,n}(x, y) \le \epsilon$. Let $s(n, \epsilon, K, T)$ be the minimal cardinality of any (n, ϵ, K, T)-spanning set. It is standard that for any subset $K \subseteq X$,

$$s(n, \epsilon, K, T) \le r(n, \epsilon, K, T) \le s(n, \epsilon/2, K, T).$$

Definition 2.1 [7] *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$h_{pre}(T) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x \in X, k \ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T)$$

is called the preimage entropy of T.

Note that if T is a homeomorphism, then $h_{pre}(T) = 0$.

Definition 2.2 [8] *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then s-topological entropy of T is defined as*

$$D(s, T) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log r(n, \epsilon, X, T) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log s(n, \epsilon, X, T).$$

In[6], the author proved that the s- topological entropy $D(s, T)$ shares the following property.

Proposition 2.1 **(1)** *The map $s > 0 \mapsto D(s, T)$ is positive and decreasing with s;*

(2) *There exists $s_0 \in [0, +\infty]$, such that*

$$D(s, T) = \begin{cases} +\infty, \text{ if } 0 < s < s_0, \\ \\ 0, \quad \text{if } s > s_0; \end{cases}$$

(3) $D(s,T^m) \leq m^s D(s,T)$.

Proposition 2.1(2) indicates that the value of $D(s,T)$ jumps from infinity to 0 at the two sides of some point s_0, which is similar to a fractal measure. Analogous to the fractal dimension, Cheng and Li [8] defined the entropy dimension of T as follows:

$$D(T) = \sup\{s > 0 : D(s,T) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : D(s,T) = 0\}.$$

Now, analogous to entropy dimension, we begin our process to introduce our new definition of preimage entropy dimension.

Definition 2.3 *Let (X,d,T) be a topological dynamical system. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s > 0$. Then s-preimage entropy of T is defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} D^d_{pre}(s,T) &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\geq n} r(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\geq n} s(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T). \end{aligned}$$

The preimage entropy dimension of T is given by

$$D^d_{pre}(T) = \inf\{s > 0 : D^d_{pre}(s,T) = 0\} = \sup\{s > 0 : D^d_{pre}(s,T) = \infty\}.$$

When there is no confuse, we use $D_{pre}(s,T)$ instead of $D^d_{pre}(s,T)$ and $D_{pre}(T)$ instead of $D^d_{pre}(T)$. Clearly, $D_{pre}(1,T) = h_{pre}(T)$. If T is a homeomorphism, then $D_{pre}(s,T) = 0$, further, $D_{pre}(T) = 0$. When X needs to be explicitly mentioned, we write $D_{pre}(T,X)$ instead of $D_{pre}(T)$.

Proposition 2.2 *$D_{pre}(T)$ is independent of the choice of metric on X.*

Proof. We only prove that $D_{pre}(s,T)$ is independent of the choice of metric on X. Let d_1 and d_2 be two compatible metrics on X. Then, by the compactness of X, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$, if $d_1(x,y) < \delta$, then $d_2(x,y) < \epsilon$. It follows that $r(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T,d_2) \leq r(n,\delta,T^{-k}(x),T,d_1)$ for all $x \in X$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq n$. This shows that $D^{d_2}_{pre}(s,T) \leq D^{d_1}_{pre}(s,T)$. Interchanging d_1 and d_2, this gives the opposite inequality. Therefore, $D^{d_1}_{pre}(s,T) = D^{d_2}_{pre}(s,T)$. □

Proposition 2.3 *If X is a finite set, then $D_{pre}(T) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s > 0$. Since X is finite, $r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \leq card(X)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{pre}(s, T) \\ =& \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in X, k \geq n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ \leq& \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log card(X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $D_{pre}(s, T) = 0$ for any $s > 0$. Therefore, $D_{pre}(T) = 0$. □

We can easily obtain the following proposition by using the method of [6].

Proposition 2.4 **(1)** *The map $s > 0 \mapsto D_{pre}(s, T)$ is positive and decreasing with s;*

(2) *There exists $s_0 \in [0, +\infty]$, such that*

$$D_{pre}(s, T) = \begin{cases} +\infty, \text{ if } 0 < s < s_0, \\ \\ 0, \quad \text{if } s > s_0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.5 *If $T : X \to X$ is a contractive continuous map, then $D_{pre}(T) = 0$.*

Proof. If $T : X \to X$ is a contractive map, then T diminishes distance. Then

$$s(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \leq s(n-1, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \leq \cdots \leq s(1, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} D_{pre}(s, T) =& \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in X, k \geq n} s(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ \leq& \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in X, k \geq n} s(1, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) = 0 \text{ for all } s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $D_{pre}(s, T) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. Therefore, $D_{pre}(T) = 0$. □

Denote by $K(X, T)$ the set of all T-invariant nonempty compact subsets of X, that is, $K(X, T) = \{F \subseteq X : F \neq \emptyset, F \text{ is compact and } T(F) \subseteq F\}$. Since X is compact, it follows from $T(X) \subseteq X$ that $K(X, T) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.4 *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $F \in K(X, T)$,*

$$D_{pre}(s, T|_F, F) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in F, k \geq n} r(n, \epsilon, (T|_F)^{-k}(x), T|_F)$$

is called s-preimage entropy of T on F, where $T|_F : F \to F$ is the induced map of T, that is, for any $x \in F$, $T|_F(x) = T(x)$. The preimage entropy dimension of T restricted to F is given by

$$D_{pre}(T|_F, F) = \inf\{s > 0 : D_{pre}(s, T|_F, F) = 0\} = \sup\{s > 0 : D_{pre}(s, T|_F, F) = \infty\}.$$

Proposition 2.6 *If $F_1, F_2 \in K(X,T)$ and $F_1 \subseteq F_2$, then $D_{pre}(T|_{F_1}, F_1) \leq D_{pre}(T|_{F_2}, F_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $n,\ k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq n$, and let $x \in F_1$ and $E \subseteq (T|_{F_1})^{-k}(x)$ be an $(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_1})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_1})$-separated subset with the maximal cardinality. For $x \in F_1$, we have

$$F_2 \supseteq (T|_{F_2})^{-k}(x) \supseteq (T|_{F_1})^{-k}(x) \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2.$$

Hence, E is an $(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_2})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_2})$-separated subset of $(T|_{F_2})^{-k}(x)$. Therefore,

$$r(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_1})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_1}) \leq r(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_2})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_2}).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in F_1, k \geq n} r(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_1})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_1}) \\ \leq &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in F_2, k \geq n} r(n, \epsilon, (T|_{F_2})^{-k}(x), T|_{F_2}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $D_{pre}(s, T|_{F_1}, F_1) \leq D_{pre}(s, T|_{F_2}, F_2)$. By Definition 2.4, we have

$$D_{pre}(T|_{F_1}, F_1) \leq D_{pre}(T|_{F_2}, F_2).$$

□

Remark 1 *Fix $s > 0$. For $F_i \in K(X,T), i \in \mathbb{N}$, if $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ and $F \in K(X,T)$, then $D_{pre}(s, T|_F, F) \geq \sup_{1 \leq i < \infty} D_{pre}(s, T|_{F_i}, F_i)$ and $D_{pre}(T|_F, F) \leq D_{pre}(T, X)$.*

Example 2.1 *Let (Σ_2, σ) be a one-sided symbolic dynamical system, where $\Sigma_2 = \{x = (x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} : x_n \in \{0,1\}$ for every $n\}$, $\sigma(x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (x_1, x_2, \cdots)$. Then $D_{pre}(\sigma) = 1$.*

Considering $\{0,1\}$ as a discrete space and putting product topology on Σ_2, an admissible metric ρ on the space Σ_2 is defined by

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_n, y_n)}{2^n},$$

where

$$d(x_n, y_n) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } x_n = y_n, \\ \\ 1, \text{ if } x_n \neq y_n, \end{cases}$$

for $x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots),\ y = (y_0, y_1, \cdots) \in \Sigma_2$. By Robinson [27], Σ_2 is a compact metric space.

From Nitecki [24] and Cheng-Newhouse [7], $h_{pre}(\sigma) = \log 2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x \in \Sigma_2, k \geq n} r(n, \epsilon, \sigma^{-k}(x), \sigma) \\ =& \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x \in \Sigma_2, k \geq n} s(n, \epsilon, \sigma^{-k}(x), \sigma)(n^{1-s}) \\ =& \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } s < 1, \\ \log 2, & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } s > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_{pre}(\sigma) = 1$. □

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

(1) *if $s > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D_{pre}(s, T^m) \leq m^s D_{pre}(s, T)$,*

(2) *if $0 < s \leq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D_{pre}(s, T^m) \leq m D_{pre}(s, T)$,*

(3) *if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D_{pre}(T^m) = D_{pre}(T)$.*

Proof. (1) Given $s > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $g = T^m$. Let $k \geq n$ and $x \in X$. It is clear that

$$r(n, \epsilon, g^{-k}(x), g) \leq r(mn, \epsilon, T^{-mk}(x), T).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(n,\epsilon,g^{-k}(x),g)\\
\leq&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-mk}(x),T)\\
=&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{m^s}{(nm)^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-mk}(x),T)\\
\leq&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{m^s}{(nm)^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq mn} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T)\\
=&m^s\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{(nm)^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq mn} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T)\\
\leq&m^s\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{(nm)^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(n,\epsilon,g^{-k}(x),g)\\
\leq&m^s\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{(nm)^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(nm,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_{pre}(s,T^m)\leq m^s D_{pre}(s,T)$.

(2) Since $m^s\leq m$ for $0<s\leq 1$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$, it follows that $D_{pre}(s,T^m)\leq mD_{pre}(s,T)$ by the above result of (1).

(3) Suppose $D_{pre}(s,T)=0$ for some $s>0$. From the above (1), we have $D_{pre}(s,T^m)=0$ for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\{s>0: D_{pre}(s,T)=0\}\subseteq\{s>0: D_{pre}(s,T^m)=0\}$, further, $\inf\{s>0: D_{pre}(s,T^m)=0\}\leq\inf\{s>0: D_{pre}(s,T)=0\}$. This shows that $D_{pre}(T^m)\leq D_{pre}(T)$. Next, we prove that $D_{pre}(T^m)\geq D_{pre}(T)$. Since

$$D_{pre}(s,T)=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} s(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x),T),$$

then given $\alpha>0$, there exists $x_0\in X$ such that

$$D_{pre}(s,T)-\alpha<\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{k\geq n} s(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x_0),T). \tag{3.1}$$

Fix $m\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$D_{pre}(s,T^m)=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{y\in X,k\geq n} s(n,\epsilon,T^{-mk}(y),T^m).$$

Taking $y=T^{mk-k}(x_0)$, we get

$$D_{pre}(s,T^m)\geq\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{k\geq n} s(n,\epsilon,T^{-k}(x_0),T^m). \tag{3.2}$$

As X is a compact space, $T, T^2, \cdots, T^m$ are uniformly continuous on X, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ such that

$$d(x,y) < \delta \Longrightarrow \max_{0\le i<m} d(T^i(x), T^i(y)) < \epsilon.$$

Then we get $s(mn, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x_0), T) \le s(n, \delta, T^{-k}(x_0), T^m)$. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{pre}(s, T^m) \ge & \lim_{\delta\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{k\ge n} s(n, \delta, T^{-k}(x_0), T^m) \\ \ge & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} m^s \frac{1}{(mn)^s} \log \sup_{k\ge n} s(mn, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x_0), T) \\ \ge & m^s (D_{pre}(s,T) - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\alpha \to 0$, this implies that $D_{pre}(s, T^m) \ge m^s D_{pre}(s,T)$ for all $s > 0$. This shows that $D_{pre}(T^m) \ge D_{pre}(T)$. □

Theorem 3.2 *Let (X,T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

(1) *If $h_{pre}(T) < +\infty$, then $D_{pre}(T) \le 1$.*

(2) *If $h_{pre}(T) = +\infty$, then $D_{pre}(T) \ge 1$.*

(3) *If $0 < h_{pre}(T) < +\infty$, then $D_{pre}(T) = 1$.*

Proof. **(1)** If $h_{pre}(T) < +\infty$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) < +\infty.$$

Therefore, for all $s > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ = & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ = & \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ = & 0 \cdot h_{pre}(T) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_{pre}(T) \le 1$.

(2) If $h_{pre}(T) = +\infty$, then for all $s < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) \\ = & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x\in X, k\ge n} r(n, \epsilon, T^{-k}(x), T) = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $D_{pre}(T) \geq 1$.

(3) If $h_{pre}(T) < \infty$, then we get from (1) that $D_{pre}(T) \leq 1$. As $h_{pre}(T) > 0$, it is at $s = 1$ that the map $s \longmapsto D_{pre}(s, T)$ changes its value:

$$D_{pre}(s,T) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & s < 1; \\ h_{pre}(T), & s = 1; \\ 0, & s > 1. \end{cases}$$

This means that $D_{pre}(T) = 1$. □

Let (X, T_1) and (Y, T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. Then, (X, T_1) is an extension of (Y, T_2), or (Y, T_2) is a factor of (X, T_1) if there exists a surjective continuous map $\pi : X \to Y$ (called a factor map) such that $\pi \circ T_1(x) = T_2 \circ \pi(x)$ for every $x \in X$. If π is a homeomorphism, then (X, T_1) and (Y, T_2) are said to be topologically conjugate and the homeomorphism π is called a conjugate map.

Theorem 3.3 *Let (X, d, T_1) and (Y, d', T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. If (X, T_1) and (Y, T_2) are topologically conjugate with a conjugate map $\pi : X \to Y$, then $D_{pre}(s, T_1) = D_{pre}(s, T_2)$ for all $s > 0$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq n$. Since X is compact and π is continuous, π is uniform continuous. So for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \geq \delta$ whenever $d'(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2)) \geq \epsilon$. Fix $y \in Y$, let $E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2) \subseteq T_2^{-k}(y)$ be a maximal $(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2)$-separated set for $T_2^{-k}(y)$, i.e.,

$$card(E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2)) = r(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2).$$

Let $G \subseteq X$ be a set by taking $x' = \pi^{-1}(y')$ for each $y' \in E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2)$. Then $card(G) = card(E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2))$. For the above y, set $x = \pi^{-1}(y)$. Since for all $x' \in G$, there exists only $y' \in E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2)$ such that $x' = \pi^{-1}(y')$. Hence, $y = T_2^k(y') = T_2^k(\pi(x')) = \pi(T_1^k(x'))$, further, $T_1^k(x') = x$, that is, $x' \in T_1^{-k}(x)$, which implies that $G \subseteq T_1^{-k}(x)$. We claim that G is a $(n, \delta, T_1^{-k}(x), T_1)$-separated set for $T_1^{-k}(x)$. In fact, for any $x_1, x_2 \in G$, $\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2) \in E(n, \epsilon, T_2^{-k}(y), T_2)$. Thus

$$d'_n(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2)) := \max_{0 \leq i < n} \{d'(T_2^i \pi(x_1), T_2^i \pi(x_2))\} \geq \epsilon.$$

that is, there exists $0 \leq i_0 < n$ such that $d'(T_2^{i_0} \pi(x_1), T_2^{i_0} \pi(x_2)) \geq \epsilon$. Since $\pi \circ T_1^{i_0} = T_2^{i_0} \circ \pi$, then $d(T_1^{i_0}(x_1), T_1^{i_0}(x_2)) \geq \delta$ by the uniform continuity of π. Thus

$$d_n(x_1, x_2) := \max_{0 \leq i < n} \{d(T_1^i(x_1), T_1^i(x_2))\} \geq \delta.$$

Therefore, $r(n,\delta,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1) \geq card(G) = card(E(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2)) = r(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2)$, that is, $r(n,\delta,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1) \geq r(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{x\in X,k\geq n} r(n,\delta,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1)\\ \geq &\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}\log\sup_{y\in Y,k\geq n} r(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $D_{pre}(s,T_1) \geq D_{pre}(s,T_2)$ for all $s > 0$. Since $\pi : X \to Y$ is a conjugate map, then $\pi^{-1} : Y \to X$ is also a conjugate map for (Y,T_2) and (X,T_1). Similarly, we have $D_{pre}(s,T_2) \geq D_{pre}(s,T_1)$. Therefore, $D_{pre}(s,T_1) = D_{pre}(s,T_2)$. □

Let (X,d_1,T_1) and (Y,d_2,T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. For the product space $X \times Y$, define a map $T_1 \times T_2 : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ by $(T_1 \times T_2)(x,y) = (T_1(x),T_2(y))$. This map $T_1 \times T_2$ is continuous and $(X \times Y, T_1 \times T_2)$ forms a topological dynamical system. The metric d on $X \times Y$ is given by

$$d((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) = \max\{d_1(x_1,x_2),d_2(y_1,y_2)\} \text{ for any } (x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2) \in X \times Y.$$

Theorem 3.4 *Let (X,d_1,T_1) and (Y,d_2,T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. Then*

$$D_{pre}(s,T_1 \times T_2) = D_{pre}(s,T_1) + D_{pre}(s,T_2).$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq n$. Since balls in the product metric d are products of balls on X and Y, the same is true for balls in the metric d_n. Hence, for $(x,y) \in X \times Y$,

$$s(n,\epsilon,(T_1 \times T_2)^{-k}(x,y),T_1 \times T_2) \leq s(n,\epsilon,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1) \cdot s(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2),$$

which implies that $D_{pre}(s,T_1 \times T_2) \leq D_{pre}(s,T_1) + D_{pre}(s,T_2)$ for any $s > 0$. On the other hand, the product of any $(n,\epsilon,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1)$-separated set in $T_1^{-k}(x)$ for T_1 and any $(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2)$-separated set in $T_2^{-k}(y)$ for T_2 is an $(n,\epsilon,T_1^{-k} \times T_2^{-k}(x,y),T_1 \times T_2)$-separated set in $T_1^{-k}(x) \times T_2^{-k}(y)$ for $T_1 \times T_2$. Hence,

$$r(n,\epsilon,(T_1 \times T_2)^{-k}(x,y),T_1 \times T_2) \geq r(n,\epsilon,T_1^{-k}(x),T_1) \cdot r(n,\epsilon,T_2^{-k}(y),T_2),$$

which implies that $D_{pre}(s,T_1 \times T_2) \geq D_{pre}(s,T_1) + D_{pre}(s,T_2)$ for any $s > 0$. □

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Dr. Tu Siming for useful discussion. The work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant No. WK0010000035).

References

[1] R. Adler, A. Konheim and M. McAndrew, Topological entropy, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1965), 309-319.

[2] L. Block and W. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1513, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[3] R. Bowen, Topological entropy and axiom A, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math, Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1970), 23-42.

[4] R. Bowen, Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 401-414.

[5] R. Bowen and P. Walters, Expansive one-parameter flows, *J. Differ. Equat.* **12** (1972), 180-193.

[6] M. Carvalho, Entropy dimension of dynamical systems, *Port. Math.* **54** (1997) 19-40.

[7] W. Cheng and S. Newhouse, Preimage entropy, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **25** (2005), 1091-1113.

[8] W. Cheng and B. Li, Zero entropy systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **140** (2010), 1006-1021.

[9] W. Cheng and B. Li, Topological pressure dimension, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **53** (2013), 10-17.

[10] I. Cornfeld, S. Fomin and Y. Sinai, *Ergodic theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[11] D. Dou, W. Huang and K. Park, Entropy dimension of measure-preserving systems. Preprint

[12] D. Dou, W. Huang and K. Park, Entropy dimension of topological dynamical systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 659-680.

[13] S. Ferenczi and K. Park, Entropy dimensions and a class of constructive examples, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **17** (2007), 133-141.

[14] W. Huang and Y. Yi, A local variational principle of pressure and its applications to equilibrium states, *Isr. J. Math.* **161** (2007), 29-74.

[15] W. Huang, K. Park and X. Ye, Topological disjointness from entropy zero systems, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **135** (2007) 259-282.

[16] M. Hurley, On topological entropy of maps, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **15** (1995), 557-568.

[17] H. Keynes and M. Sears, Real-expansive flows and topological dimensions, *Ergod. Theor. Dyn. Syst.* **1** (1981), 179-195.

[18] A. Kolmogorov and Y. Tihomiorov, ϵ-Entropy and ϵ-capacity of sets in function spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1961), 277-364.

[19] R. Kuang, W. Cheng and B. Li, Fractal entropy of nonautonomous systems, *Pacific J. Math.* **262** (2013), 421-436.

[20] D. Ma, R. Kuang and B. Li, Topological entropy dimension for noncompact sets, *Dyn. Syst.* **27** (2012), 303-316.

[21] D. Ma and M. Wu, Topological pressure and topological entropy of a semigroup of maps, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **31** (2011), 545-557.

[22] M. Misiurewicz and J. Smítal, Smooth chaotic maps with zero topological entropy, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **8** (1998), 421-424.

[23] Z. Nitecki and F. Przytycki, Preimage entropy for mappings, *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos.* **9** (1999), 1815-1843.

[24] Z. Nitecki, Topological entropy and the preimage structure of maps, *Real Anal. Exchange.* **29** (2003/2004), 7-39.

[25] K. Park, On directional entropy functions, *Isr. J. Math.* **113** (1999), 243-267.

[26] R. Thomas, Some fundamental properties of continuous functions and topological entropy, *Pacific. J. Math.* **141** (1990), 391-400.

[27] C. Robinson, *Dynamical systems: stability, symbolic dynamics, and chaos.* 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRc Press Inc; 1999.